Klimaänderung I 3. Der Einfluss des Menschen auf das Klimasystem

Robert Sausen

Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt Oberpfaffenhofen

Vorlesung WS 2022/23 LMU München





Technical information

http://www.pa.op.dlr.de/~RobertSausen/vorlesung/index.html

- Most recent update on the lecture
- Slides of the lecture (with some delay)
- See also LSF <u>https://lsf.verwaltung.uni-muenchen.de/</u>
- Contact: robert.sausen@dlr.de
- > Further information:
 - www.ipcc.ch
 - www.de-ipcc.de



2





Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

Contents of IPCC AR 6 2021: Working Group I: the Physical Science Basis

	Chapters	Chapter 1	Framing, Context, and Methods
1		Chapter 2	Changing State of the Climate System
		Chapter 3	Human Influence on the Climate System
		Chapter 4	Future Global Climate: Scenario-based Projections and Near-term Information
		Chapter 5	Global Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles and Feedbacks
		Chapter 6	Short-lived Climate Forcers
		Chapter 7	The Earth's Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks and Climate Sensitivity
		Chapter 8	Water Cycle Changes
ausen, Klimaänderung 1.3		Chapter 9	Ocean, Cryosphere and Sea Level Change
		Chapter 10	Linking Global to Regional Climate Change
		Chapter 11	Weather and Climate Extreme Events in a Changing Climate
		Chapter 12	Climate Change Information for Regional Impact and for Risk Assessment
s S	14 12 2022	Atlas	IPCC 2021

3

Chapter 3: Human influence on the climate system

Coordinating Lead Authors:

Veronika Eyring (Germany) and Nathan P. Gillett (Canada)

Lead Authors:

Krishna M. Achuta Rao (India), Rondrotiana Barimalala (South Africa/Madagascar), Marcelo Barreiro Parrillo (Uruguay), Nicolas Bellouin (United Kingdom/France), Christophe Cassou (France), Paul J. Durack (United States of America/Australia), Yu Kosaka (Japan), Shayne McGregor (Australia), Seung-Ki Min (Republic of Korea), Olaf Morgenstern (New Zealand/Germany), Ying Sun (China)

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



14.12.2022



IPCC AR6 WG I, 2021, Chapter 3

Chapter 3: Human influence on the climate system

Contributing Authors:

Lisa Bock (Germany), Elizaveta Malinina (Canada/Russian Federation), Guðfinna Aðalgeirsdóttir (Iceland), Jonathan L. Bamber (United Kingdom), Chris Brierley (United Kingdom), Lee de Mora (United Kingdom), John P. Dunne (United States of America), John C. Fyfe (Canada), Peter J. Gleckler (United States of America), Peter Greve (Austria/Germany), Lukas Gudmundsson (Switzerland/Germany, Iceland), Karsten Haustein (United Kingdom, Germany /Germany), Ed Hawkins (United Kingdom), Benjamin J. Henley (Australia), Marika M. Holland (United States of America), Chris Huntingford (United Kingdom), Colin Jones (United Kingdom), Masa Kageyama (France), Rémi Kazeroni (Germany/France), Yeon-Hee Kim (Republic of Korea), Charles Koven (United States of America), Gerhard Krinner (France/Germany, France), Eunice Lo (United Kingdom/China), Daniel J. Lunt (United Kingdom), Sophie Nowicki (United States of America), Adam S. Phillips (United States of America), Valeriu Predoi (United Kingdom), Krishnan Raghavan (India), Malcolm J. Roberts (United Kingdom), Jon Robson (United Kingdom), Lucas Ruiz (Argentina), Jean-Baptiste Sallée (France), Benjamin D. Santer (United States of America), Andrew P. Schurer (United Kingdom), Jessica Tierney (United States of America), Blair Trewin (Australia), Katja Weigel (Germany), Xuebin Zhang (Canada), Anni Zhao (United Kingdom/China), Tianjun Zhou (China)

Review Editors:

Tomas Halenka (Czech Republic), Jose A. Marengo Orsini (Brazil/Peru), Daniel Mitchell (United Kingdom)



14.12.2022



Evaluation and communication of degree of certainty in AR6 findings



centennial) trends in the frequency of all-category tropical

cyclones. {SPM.A.3.4}

SAULT VILLASS

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



14.12.2022

changes in the atmosphere, ocean, cryosphere and

biosphere have occurred. {SPM.A.1}

IPCC 2021, Chap.

Ч



of 2.5°C to 4°C (high confidence)... {SPM.A.4.4}

CONTRACT OF ANAL







Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

7

DLR



The evidence for human influence on recent climate change strengthened from the IPCC Second Assessment Report to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, and is now even stronger in this assessment. The IPCC Second Assessment Report (1995) concluded 'the balance of evidence suggests that there is a discernible human influence on global climate'. In subsequent assessments (TAR, 2001; AR4, 2007 and AR5, 2013), the evidence for human influence on the climate system was found to have progressively strengthened. AR5 concluded that human influence on the climate system is clear, evident from increasing greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere, positive radiative forcing, observed warming, and physical understanding of the climate system. This chapter updates the assessment of human influence on the climate system for large-scale indicators of climate change, synthesizing information from paleo records, observations and climate models. It also provides the primary evaluation of large-scale indicators of climate change in this report, that is complemented by fitness-for-purpose evaluation in subsequent chapters.





The Nobel Prize in Physics 2021 has been awarded to Syukuro Manabe, Klaus Hasselmann and Giorgio Parisi

Syukuro Manabe Facts



Syukuro Manabe The Nobel Prize in Physics 2021

Born: 21 September 1931, Shingu, Ehime Prefecture, Japan

Affiliation at the time of the award: Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

Prize motivation: "for the physical modelling of Earth's climate, quantifying variability and reliably predicting global warming."

Prize share: 1/4



Klaus Hasselmann Facts



Outreach

Klaus Hasselmann The Nobel Prize in Physics 2021

Born: 25 October 1931, Hamburg, Germany

Affiliation at the time of the award: Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany

Prize motivation: "for the physical modelling of Earth's climate, quantifying variability and reliably predicting global warming."

Prize share: 1/4





Synthesis across the Climate System (1)

It is unequivocal that human influence has warmed the global climate system since preindustrial times. Combining the evidence from across the climate system increases the level of confidence in the attribution of observed climate change to human influence and reduces the uncertainties associated with assessments based on single variables. Large-scale indicators of climate change in the atmosphere, ocean, cryosphere and at the land surface show clear responses to human influence consistent with those expected based on model simulations and physical understanding. {3.8.1}



DLR



Synthesis across the Climate System (2)

For most large-scale indicators of climate change, the simulated recent mean climate from the latest generation Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) climate models underpinning this assessment has improved compared to the CMIP5 models assessed in the AR5 (*high confidence*). High-resolution models exhibit reduced biases in some but not all aspects of surface and ocean climate (*medium confidence*), and most Earth system models, which include biogeochemical feedbacks, perform as well as their lower-complexity counterparts (*medium confidence*). The multi-model mean captures most aspects of observed climate change well (*high confidence*). The multi-model mean captures the proxy-reconstructed global-mean surface air temperature (GSAT) change during past highand low-CO₂ climates (*high confidence*) and the correct sign of temperature and precipitation change in most assessed regions in the mid-Holocene (*medium confidence*). The simulation of paleoclimates on continental scales has improved compared to AR5 (*medium confidence*), but models often underestimate large temperature and precipitation differences relative to the present day (*high confidence high confidence*). {3.8.2}

ਨੇ Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3





Changes in surface temperature for different paleoclimates



Figure 3.2 | **Changes in surface temperature for different paleoclimates.** (a) Comparison of reconstructed and modelled surface temperature anomalies for the Last Glacial Maximum over land and ocean in the Tropics (30°N–30°S). Land-based reconstructions are from Cleator et al. (2020). Ocean-based reconstructions are from Tierney et al. (2020b). Model anomalies are calculated as the difference between Last Glacial Maximum and pre-industrial control simulations of the PMIP3 and PMIP4 ensembles, sampled at the reconstruction data points. (b) Land–sea contrast in global mean surface temperature change for different paleoclimates. Small symbols show individual model simulations from the CMIP5 and CMIP6 ensembles. Large symbols show ensemble means and assessed values. (c) Upper panel shows time series of volcanic radiative forcing, in W m⁻², as used in the CMIP5 (Gao et al., 2008; Crowley and Unterman, 2013; see also Schmidt et al., 2011) and CMIP6 (850 CE to 1900 CE from Toohey and Sigl (2017), 1850–2015 from Luo (2018)). The forcing was calculated from the stratospheric aerosol optical depth at 550 nm shown in Figure 2.2. Lower panel shows time series of global mean surface temperature anomalies, in °C, with respect to 1850–1900 for the CMIP5 and CMIP6 past1000 simulations and their historical continuation simulations. Simulations are coloured according to the volcanic radiative forcing dataset they used. The median reconstruction of temperature from PAGES 2k Consortium (2019) is shown in black, the 5–95% confidence interval is shown by grey lines and the grey envelopes show the 1st, 5th, 15th, 25th, 35th, 45th, 55th, 65th, 75th, 85th, 95th, and 99th percentiles. All data in both panels are band-passed filtered, where frequencies longer than 20 years have been retained. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

ਦ Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

DLR



Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



14.12.2022









Human Influence on the Atmosphere and Surface (1)

The *likely* range of human-induced warming in global-mean surface air temperature (GSAT) in 2010–2019 relative to 1850–1900 is 0.8°C–1.3°C, encompassing the observed warming of 0.9°C–1.2°C, while the change attributable to natural forcings is only -0.1°C–0.1°C. The best estimate of human-induced warming is 1.07°C. Warming can now be attributed since 1850–1900, instead of since 1951 as done in the AR5, thanks to a better understanding of uncertainties and because observed warming is larger. The *likely* ranges for human-induced GSAT and global mean surface temperature (GMST) warming are equal (*medium confidence*). Attributing observed warming to specific anthropogenic forcings remains more uncertain. Over the same period, forcing from greenhouse gases¹ *likely* increased GSAT by 1.0°C–0.8°C. It is *very likely* that human-induced greenhouse gas increases were the main driver² of tropospheric warming since comprehensive satellite observations started in 1979, and *extremely likely* that human-induced stratospheric ozone depletion was the main driver of cooling in the lower stratosphere between 1979 and the mid-1990s. {3.3.1}

¹ In this chapter, 'greenhouse gases' refers to well-mixed greenhouse gases.

⁴² In this chapter, 'main driver' means responsible for more than 50% of the change



Annual-mean surface (2 m) air temperature (°C) for the period 1995–2014



Figure 3.3 | **Annual mean near-surface (2 m) air temperature (°C) for the period 1995–2014. (a)** Multi-model (ensemble) mean constructed with one realization of the CMIP6 historical experiment from each model. (b) Multi-model mean bias, defined as the difference between the CMIP6 multi-model mean and the climatology of the fifth generation European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) atmospheric reanalysis of the global climate (ERA5). (c) Multi-model mean of the root mean square error calculated over all months separately and averaged, with respect to the climatology from ERA5. (d) Multi-model mean bias defined as the difference between the CMIP6 multi-model mean and the climatology from ERA5. The difference between the multi-model mean of (e) high-resolution and (f) low-resolution simulations of four HighResMIP models and the climatology from ERA5 is also shown. Uncertainty is represented using the advanced approach: No overlay indicates regions with robust signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show a change greater than the variability threshold and $\geq 80\%$ of all models agree on sign of change; diagonal lines indicate regions with no change or no robust signal, where $\leq 66\%$ of models show a change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show a change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the



14.12.2022



Near Surface Air Temperature



IPCC 2021, Chap. 3





DLR



Human Influence on the Atmosphere and Surface (2)

The CMIP6 model ensemble reproduces the observed historical global surface temperature trend and variability with biases small enough to support detection and attribution of human-induced warming (very high confidence). The CMIP6 multi-model mean GSAT anomaly between 1850–1900 and 2010–2019 is close to the best estimate of observed warming, but some CMIP6 models simulate a warming that is outside the assessed 5–95% range of observed warming. CMIP6 models broadly reproduce surface temperature variations over the past millennium, including the cooling that follows periods of intense volcanism (*medium confidence*). For upper air temperature, there is medium confidence that most CMIP5 and CMIP6 models overestimate observed warming in the upper tropical troposphere by at least 0.1°C per decade over the period 1979 to 2014. The latest updates to satellite-derived estimates of stratospheric temperature have resulted in decreased differences between simulated and observed changes of global mean temperature through the depth of the stratosphere (*medium confidence*). {3.3.1}



14 12 2022



Observed and simulated time series of the anomalies in annual and global mean near-surface air temperature (GSAT)



Figure 3.4 | Observed and simulated time series of the anomalies in annual and global mean surface air temperature (GSAT). All anomalies are differences from the 1850–1900 time-mean of each individual time series. The reference period 1850–1900 is indicated by grey shading. **(a)** Single simulations from CMIP6 models (thin lines) and the multi-model mean (thick red line). Observational data (thick black lines) are from the Met Office Hadley Centre/Climatic Research Unit dataset (HadCRUT5), and are blended surface temperature (2 m air temperature over land and sea surface temperature over the ocean). All models have been subsampled using the HadCRUT5 observational data mask. Vertical lines indicate large historical volcanic eruptions. CMIP6 models which are marked with an asterisk are either tuned to reproduce observed warming directly, or indirectly by tuning equilibrium climate sensitivity. Inset: GSAT for each model over the reference period, not masked to any observations. **(b)** Multi-model means of CMIP5 (blue line) and CMIP6 (red line) ensembles and associated 5th to 95th percentile ranges (shaded regions). Observational data are HadCRUT5, Berkeley Earth, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration NOAAGlobalTemp-Interim and Kadow et al. (2020). Masking was done as in (a). CMIP6 historical simulations were extended with SSP2-4.5 simulations for the period 2015–2020 and CMIP5 simulations were extended with RCP4.5 simulations for the period 2006–2020. All available ensemble members were used (see Section 3.2). The multi-model means and percentiles were calculated solely from simulations available for the whole time span (1850–2020). Figure is updated from Bock et al. (2020), their Figures 1 and 2. CC BY 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

1





The standard deviation of annually averaged zonal-mean near-surface air temperature



Temporal variability of near-surface air temperature

Figure 3.5 | The standard deviation of annually averaged zonal-mean near-surface air temperature. This is shown for four detrended observed temperature datasets (HadCRUT5, Berkeley Earth, NOAAGlobalTemp-Interim and Kadow et al. (2020), for the years 1995-2014) and 59 CMIP6 pre-industrial control simulations (one ensemble member per model, 65 years) (after Jones et al., 2013). For line colours see the legend of Figure 3.4. Additionally, the multi-model mean (red) and standard deviation (grey shading) are shown. Observational and model datasets were detrended by removing the least-squares quadratic trend. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

DLR

Simulated internal variability of global surface air temperature (GSAT) versus observed changes



Figure 3.6 | Simulated internal variability of global surface air temperature (GSAT) versus observed changes. (a) Time series of five-year running mean GSAT anomalies in 45 CMIP6 pre-industrial control (unforced) simulations. The 10 most variable models in terms of five-year running mean GSAT are coloured according to the legend on Figure 3.4. (b) Histograms of GSAT changes in CMIP6 historical simulations (extended by using SSP2-4.5 simulations) from 1850–1900 to 2010–2019 are shown by pink shading in (c), and GSAT changes between the average of the first 51 years and the average of the last 20 years of 170-year overlapping segments of the pre-industrial control simulations shown in (a) are shown by blue shading. GMST changes in observational datasets for the same period are indicated by black vertical lines. (c) Observed GMST anomaly time series relative to the 1850–1900 average. Black lines represent the five-year running means while grey lines show unfiltered annual time series. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

S Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

Simulated variability of GSAT versus observed changes

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

26



Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3 27

DLR

Assessed contributions to observed warming, and supporting lines of evidence



Figure 3.8 | Assessed contributions to observed warming, and supporting lines of evidence. Shaded bands show assessed likely ranges of temperature change in GSAT, 2010–2019 relative to 1850–1900, attributable to net human influence, well-mixed greenhouse gases, other human forcings (aerosols, ozone, and land-use change), natural forcings, and internal variability, and the 5–95% range of observed warming. Bars show 5–95% ranges based on (left to right) Haustein et al. (2017), Gillett et al. (2021) and Ribes et al. (2021), and crosses show the associated best estimates. No 5-95% ranges were provided for the Haustein et al. (2017) greenhouse gas or other human forcings contributions. The Ribes et al. (2021) results were updated using a revised natural forcing time series, and the Haustein et al. (2017) results were updated using HadCRUT5. The Chapter 7 best estimates and ranges were derived using assessed forcing time series and a two-layer energy balance model as described in Section 7.3.5.3. Coloured symbols show the simulated responses to the forcings concerned in each of the models indicated. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3 28

DLR



Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

^й **Г**

DLR

3

Global, land, ocean and continental annual mean near-surface air temperatures anomalies in CMIP6 models and observations



Figure 3.9 | Global, land, ocean and continental annual mean near-surface air temperatures anomalies in CMIP6 models and observations. Time series are shown for CMIP6 historical anthropogenic and natural (brown), natural-only (green), greenhouse gas only (grey) and aerosol only (blue) simulations (thick lines show multimodel means and shaded regions show the 5th to 95th percentile ranges) and for HadCRUT5 (black). All models have been subsampled using the HadCRUT5 observational data mask. Temperature anomalies are shown relative to 1950–2010 for Antarctica and relative to 1850–1900 for other continents. CMIP6 historical simulations are extended using the SSP2-4.5 scenario simulations. All available ensemble members were used (see Section 3.2). Regions are defined by Iturbide et al. (2020). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

30

DLR







14.12.2022

Observed and simulated tropical mean temperature trends through the atmosphere



Figure 3.10 | **Observed and simulated tropical mean temperature trends through the atmosphere.** Vertical profiles of temperature trends in the tropics (20°S–20°N) for three periods: (a) 1979–2014, (b) 1979–1997 (ozone depletion era) and (c) 1998–2014 (ozone stabilization era). The black lines show trends in the Radiosonde Innovation Composite Homogenization (RICH) 1.7 (long dashed) and Radiosonde Observation Correction using Reanalysis (RAOBCORE) 1.7 (dashed) radiosonde datasets (Haimberger et al., 2012), and in the ERA5/5.1 reanalysis (solid). Grey envelopes are centred on the RICH 1.7 trends, but show the uncertainty based on 32 RICH-observations members of version 1.5.1 of the dataset, which used version 1.7.3 of the RICH software but with the parameters of version 1.5.1. ERA5 was used as reference for calculating the adjustments between 2010 and 2019, and ERA-Interim was used for the years before that. Red lines show trends in CMIP6 historical simulations from one realization of each of 60 models. Blue lines show trends in 46 CMIP6 models that used prescribed, rather than simulated, sea surface temperatures (SSTs). Figure is adapted from Mitchell et al. (2020), their Figure 1. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3





Human Influence on the Atmosphere and Surface (3)

The slower rate of GMST increase observed over 1998–2012 compared to 1951–2012 was a temporary event followed by a strong GMST increase (very high confidence). Improved observational data sets since AR5 show a larger GMST trend over 1998–2012 than earlier estimates. All the observed estimates of the 1998–2012 GMST trend lie within the 10th–90th percentile range of CMIP6 simulated trends (*high confidence*). Internal variability, particularly Pacific Decadal Variability, and variations in solar and volcanic forcings partly offset the anthropogenic surface warming trend over the 1998–2012 period (high confidence). Global ocean heat content continued to increase throughout this period, indicating continuous warming of the entire climate system (*very high confidence*). Since 2012, GMST has warmed strongly, with the past five years (2016–2020) being the warmest five-year period in the instrumental record since at least 1850 (high confidence). {Cross-Chapter Box 3.1, 3.3.1; 3.5.1

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



15-year trends of surface global warming for 1998-2012 and 2012-2026



Cross-Chapter Box 3.1, Figure 1 | 15-year trends of global surface temperature for 1998–2012 and 2012–2026. (a, b) GSAT and GMST trends for 1998–2012 (a) and 2012–2026 (b). Histograms are based on GSAT in historical simulations of CMIP6 (red shading, extended by SSP2-4.5) and CMIP5 (grey shading; extended by RCP4.5). Filled and open diamonds at the top represent multi-model ensemble means of GSAT and GMST trends, respectively. Diagonal lines show histograms of HadCRUT5.0.1.0. Triangles at the top of (a) represent GMST trends from Berkeley Earth, GISTEMP, Kadow et al. (2020) and NOAAGlobalTemp-Interim, and the GSAT trend from ERA5. Selected CMIP6 members whose 1998–2012 trends are lower than the HadCRUT5.0.1.0 mean trend are indicated by purple shading (a) and (b). In (a), model GMST and GSAT, and ERA5 GSAT are masked to match HadCRUT data coverage. (c–d) Trend maps of annual near-surface temperature for 1998–2012 based on HadCRUT5.0.1.0 mean (c), and composited surface air temperature trends of subsampled CMIP6 simulations (d) with GSAT trends in the purple shaded area in (a). In (c), cross marks indicate trends that are not significant at the 10% level based on t-tests with serial correlation taken into account. The ensemble size used for each of the histograms and the trend composite is indicated at the top right of each of the panels (a, b, d). Model ensemble members are weighted with the inverse of the ensemble size of the same model, so that each model is equally weighted. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3








14.12.2022

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3



Human Influence on the Atmosphere and Surface (4)

It is *likely* that human influence has contributed to³ moistening in the upper troposphere since 1979. Also, there is *medium confidence* that human influence contributed to a global increase in annual surface specific humidity, and *medium confidence* that it contributed to a decrease in surface relative humidity over mid-latitude Northern Hemisphere continents during summertime. {3.3.2}

³ In this chapter the phrase 'human influence has contributed to' an observed change means that the response to human influence is nonzero and consistent in sign with the observed change.

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Column water vapour path trends (%/decade) for the period 1998-2019 averaged over the near-global oceans (50°S-50°N)





Figure 3.12 | Total column water vapour trends (% per decade) for the period 1988–2019 averaged over the near-global oceans (50°S–50°N). The figure shows satellite data (RSS) and ERA5.1 reanalysis, as well as CMIP5 (blue) and CMIP6 (red) historical simulations. All available ensemble members were used (see Section 3.2). Fits to the model trend probability distributions were performed with kernel density estimation. Figure is updated from Santer et al. (2007). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022



Trends in total column water vapour between 50°S and 50°N for 1988-2019.

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

40

Human Influence on the Atmosphere and Surface (5)

It is *likely* that human influence has contributed to observed large-scale precipitation changes since the mid-20th century. New attribution studies strengthen previous findings of a detectable increase in Northern Hemisphere mid- to high-latitude land precipitation (high *confidence*). Human influence has contributed to strengthening the zonal mean precipitation contrast between the wet tropics and dry subtropics (medium confidence). Yet, anthropogenic aerosols contributed to decreasing global land summer monsoon precipitation from the 1950s to 1980s (*medium confidence*). There is also *medium confidence* that human influence has contributed to high-latitude increases and mid-latitude decreases in Southern Hemisphere summertime precipitation since 1979 associated with the trend of the Southern Annular Mode toward its positive phase. Despite improvements, models still have deficiencies in simulating precipitation patterns, particularly over the tropical ocean (high confidence). {3.3.2, 3.3.3, 3.5.2

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

14.12.2022



Comparison between simulated annual precipitation changes and pollen-based reconstructions at the Mid-Holocene (6,000 years ago)



Figure 3.11 | Comparison between simulated annual precipitation changes and pollen-based reconstructions in the mid-Holocene (6000 years ago). The area-averaged changes relative to the pre-industrial control simulations over five regions (Iturbide et al., 2020) as simulated by CMIP6 models (individually identifiable, one ensemble member per model) and CMIP5 models (blue) are shown, stretching from the tropics to high-latitudes. All regions contain multiple quantitative reconstructions of changes relative to present day; their interquartile range are shown by boxes and with whiskers for their full range excluding outliers. Figure is adapted from Brierley et al. (2020). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

DLR



Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

Annual-mean precipitation rate (mm day⁻¹) for the period 1995–2014



Conflicting signals. No reduct has the second secon

Figure 3.13 [Annual-mean precipitation rate (mm day⁻¹) for the period 1995–2014. (a) Multi-model (ensemble) mean constructed with one realization of the CMIP6 historical experiment from each model. (b) Multi-model mean bias, defined as the difference between the CMIP6 multi-model mean and the precipitation analysis from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) version 2.3 (Adler et al., 2003). (c) Multi-model mean of the root mean square error calculated over all months separately and averaged with respect to the precipitation analysis from GPCP version 2.3. (d) Multi-model mean bias, calculated as the difference between the CMIP6 multi-model mean and the precipitation analysis from GPCP version 2.3. (d) Multi-model mean bias, calculated as the difference between the CMIP6 multi-model mean and the precipitation simulations of four HighResMIP models and the precipitation analyses from GPCP version 2.3. Uncertainty is represented using the advanced approach. No overlay indicates regions with robust signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the variability threshold and $\geq 80\%$ of all models agree on sign of change; diagonal lines indicate regions with no change or no robust signal, where < 66% of models show a change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater the bias in high resolution versions of the HighResMIP models is not lower in at least three out of four models than in the corresponding low-resolution versions. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3



14.12.2022

A Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Precipitation

45

DLR

14.12.2022

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3





DLR



Wet and dry region tropical mean (30°S-30°N) annual precipitation anomalies



Figure 3.14 | Wet (a) and dry (b) region tropical mean (30°S–30°N) annual precipitation anomalies. Observed data are shown with black lines (GPCP), ERA5 reanalysis is shown in grey, single model simulations are shown with light blue/red lines (CMIP6), and multi-model mean results are shown with dark blue/red lines (CMIP6). Wet and dry region annual anomalies are calculated as the running mean over 12 months relative to a 1988–2020 base period. The regions are defined as the wettest third and driest third of the surface area, calculated for the observations and for each model separately for each season (following Polson and Hegerl, 2017). Scaling factors (**c**, **d**) are calculated for the combination of the wet and dry region mean, where the observations, reanalysis and all the model simulations are first standardized using the mean standard deviation of the pre-industrial control simulations. Two total least squares regression methods are used: noise in variables (following Polson and Hegerl, 2017) which estimates a best estimate and a 5–95% confidence interval using the pre-industrial controls (circle and thick green line) and the pre-industrial controls with double the variance (thin green line); and a bootstrap method (DelSole et al., 2019) (5–95% confidence interval shown with a purple line and best estimate with a circle). Panel (c) shows results for GPCP and panel (d) for ERA5. Figure is adapted from Schurer et al. (2020). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).



Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

Observed and simulated time series of anomalies in zonal average annual mean precipitation



Figure 3.15 | **Observed and simulated time series of anomalies in zonal average annual mean precipitation. (a), (c–f)** Evolution of global and zonal average annual mean precipitation (mm day⁻¹) over areas of land where there are observations, expressed relative to the base period of 1961–1990, simulated by CMIP6 models (one ensemble member per model) forced with both anthropogenic and natural forcings (brown) and natural forcings only (green). Multi-model means are shown in thick solid lines and shading shows the 5–95% confidence interval of the individual model simulations. The data is smoothed using a low pass filter. Observations from three different datasets are included: gridded values derived from Global Historical Climatology Network (GHCN version 2) station data, updated from Zhang et al. (2007), data from the Global Precipitation Climatology Product (GPCP L3 version 2.3, Adler et al. (2003)) and from the Climate Research Unit (CRU TS4.02, Harris et al. (2014)). Also plotted are boxplots showing interquartile and 5–95% ranges of simulated trends over the period for simulations forced with both anthropogenic and natural forcings (brown) and natural forcings (brown) and natural forcings only (blue). Observed trends for each observational product are shown as horizontal lines. Panel (b) shows annual mean precipitation rate (mm day⁻¹) of GHCN version 2 for the years 1950–2014 over land areas used to compute the plots. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

DLR





60°N-90°N



30°N-60°N

Human Influence on the Atmosphere and Surface (6)

Human-induced greenhouse gas forcing is the main driver of the observed changes in hot and cold extremes on the global scale (*virtually certain*) and on most continents (*very likely*). It is *likely* that human influence, in particular due to greenhouse gas forcing, is the main driver of the observed intensification of heavy precipitation in global land regions during recent decades. There is *high confidence* in the ability of models to capture the large-scale spatial distribution of precipitation extremes over land. The magnitude and frequency of extreme precipitation simulated by CMIP6 models are similar to those simulated by CMIP5 models (*high confidence*). {Cross-Chapter Box 3.2}





Comparison of observed and simulated changes in global mean temperature and precipitation extremes



Cross-Chapter Box 3.2, Figure 1 | Comparison of observed and simulated changes in global mean temperature and precipitation extremes. Time series of globally averaged five-year mean anomalies of the annual maximum daily maximum temperature (TXx in °C) and annual maximum 1-day precipitation (Rx1day as standardized probability index in %) between 1953 and 2017 from the HadEX3 observations and the CMIP5 and CMIP6 multi-model ensembles with natural and human forcing (top) and natural forcing only (bottom). For CMIP5, historical simulations for 1953–2005 are combined with corresponding RCP4.5 scenario runs for 2006–2017. For CMIP6, historical simulations for 1953–2014 are combined with SSP2-4.5 scenario simulations for 2015–2017. Numbers in brackets represent the number of models used. The time-fixed observational mask has been applied to model data throughout the whole period. Grid cells with more than 70% of data available between 1953 and 2017 plus data for at least three years between 2013 and 2017 are used. Coloured lines indicate multi-model means, while shading represents 5th–95th percentile ranges, based on all available ensemble members with equal weight given to each model (Section 3.2). Anomalies are relative to 1961–1990 means. Figure is updated from Seong et al. (2021), their Figure 3 and Paik et al. (2020b), their Figure 3. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Climate extremes indices

54

Human Influence on the Atmosphere and Surface (7)

It is *likely* that human influence has contributed to the poleward expansion of the zonal mean Hadley cell in the Southern Hemisphere since the 1980s. There is medium *confidence* that the observed poleward expansion of the zonal mean Hadley cell in the Northern Hemisphere is within the range of internal variability. The causes of the observed strengthening of the Pacific Walker circulation since the 1980s are not well understood, and the observed strengthening trend is outside the range of trends simulated in the coupled models (*medium confidence*). While CMIP6 models capture the general characteristics of the tropospheric large-scale circulation (*high confidence*), systematic biases exist in the mean frequency of atmospheric blocking events, especially in the Euro-Atlantic sector, some of which reduce with increasing model resolution (*medium confidence*). {3.3.3}

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

14.12.2022



Model evaluation and attribution of changes in Hadley cell extent and Walker circulation strength



Figure 3.16 | Model evaluation and attribution of changes in Hadley cell extent and Walker circulation strength. (a-c) Trends in subtropical edge latitude of the Hadley cells in (a) the Northern Hemisphere for 1980–2014 annual means and (b, c) Southern Hemisphere for (b) 1980–2014 annual means and (c) 1980/81–1999/2000 December-January-February means. Positive values indicate northward shifts. (d-f) Trends in the Pacific Walker circulation strength for (d) 1901-2010, (e) 1951-2010 and (f) 1980–2014. Positive values indicate strengthening. Based on CMIP5 historical (extended with RCP4.5), CMIP6 historical, AMIP, pre-industrial control, and single forcing simulations along with HadSLP2 and reanalyses. Pre-industrial control simulations are divided into non-overlapping segments of the same length as the other simulations. White boxes and whiskers represent means, interguartile ranges and 5th and 95th percentiles, calculated after weighting individual members with the inverse of the ensemble size of the same model, so that individual models are equally weighted (Section 3.2). The filled boxes represent the 5–95% confidence interval on the multi-model mean trends of the models with at least three ensemble members, with dots indicating the ensemble means of individual models. The edge latitude of the Hadley cell is where the surface zonal wind velocity changes sign from negative to positive, as described in the Appendix of Grise et al. (2018). The Pacific Walker circulation strength is evaluated as the annual mean difference of sea level pressure between 5°S–5°N, 160°W–80°W and 5°S–5°N, 80°E–160°E. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

Model evaluation of global monsoon domain, intensity, and circulation



Figure 3.17 | Model evaluation of global monsoon domain, intensity, and circulation. (a, b) Climatological summer-winter range of precipitation rate, scaled by annual mean precipitation rate (shading) and 850 hPa wind velocity (arrows) based on (a) GPCP and ERA5 and (b) a multi-model ensemble mean of CMIP6 historical simulations for 1979–2014. The region enclosed by red lines is the monsoon domain based on the definition by Wang and Ding (2008). (c, d) Five-year running mean anomalies of (c) global land monsoon precipitation index defined as the percentage anomaly of the summertime precipitation rate averaged over the monsoon regions over land, relative to its average for 1979–2014 (the period indicated by light grey shading) and (d) the tropical monsoon circulation index defined as the vertical shear of zonal winds between 850 and 200 hPa levels averaged over 0°-20°N, from 120°W eastward to 120°E in Northern Hemisphere summer (Wang et al., 2013; m s⁻¹) in CMIP5 historical and RCP4.5 simulations, and CMIP6 historical and AMIP simulations. Summer and winter are defined for individual hemispheres: May to September is defined as Northern Hemisphere summer and Southern Hemisphere winter, and November to March is defined as Northern Hemisphere winter and Summer Hemisphere summer. The numbers of models and simulations are given in the legend. The multi-model ensemble mean and percentiles are calculated after weighting individual ensemble members with the inverse of the ensemble size of the same model, so that individual models are equally weighted irrespective of ensemble size. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

ς IPCC 2021, Chap.

DLR



Instantaneous Northern-Hemisphere blocking frequency (% of days) in the extended northern winter season (DJFM) for the years 1979-2000



IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

Instantaneous Blocking: DJFM 1979 - 2000

Figure 3.18 | Instantaneous Northern-Hemisphere blocking frequency (% of days) in the extended northern winter season (December-January-February-March – DJFM) for the years 1979–2000. Results are shown for the ERA5 reanalysis (black), CMIP5 (blue) and CMIP6 (red) models. Coloured lines show multi-model means and shaded ranges show corresponding 5–95% ranges constructed with one realization from each model. Figure is adapted from Davini and D'Andrea (2020), their Figure 12 and following the D'Andrea et al. (1998) definition of blocking. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

14.12.2022

Long-term mean (thin black contour) and linear trend (colour) of zonal mean DJF zonal winds over 1985-2014 in the SH





14.12.2022

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

Human Influence on the Cryosphere (1)

It is very likely that anthropogenic forcing, mainly due to greenhouse gas increases, was the main driver of Arctic sea ice loss since the late 1970s. There is new evidence that increases in anthropogenic aerosols have offset part of the greenhouse gas-induced Arctic sea ice loss since the 1950s (*medium confidence*). In the Arctic, despite large differences in the mean sea ice state, loss of sea ice extent and thickness during recent decades is reproduced in all CMIP5 and CMIP6 models (*high confidence*). By contrast, global climate models do not generally capture the small observed increase in Antarctic sea ice extent during the satellite era, and there is *low confidence* in attributing the causes of this change. {3.4.1}

S Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Mean (x-axis) and trend (y-axis) in Arctic sea ice area (SIA) in September (left) and Antarctic SIA in February (right) for 1979-2017 from CMIP5 (upper) and CMIP6 (lower) models



Figure 3.20 | Mean (x-axis) and trend (y-axis) of Arctic sea ice area (SIA) in September (left) and Antarctic SIA in February (right) for 1979–2017 from CMIP5 (upper) and CMIP6 (lower) models. All individual models (ensemble means) and the multi-model mean values are compared with the observations (OSISAF, NASA Team, and Bootstrap; see Figure 9.13). Solid line indicates a linear regression slope with corresponding correlation coefficient (r) and p-value provided. Note the different scales used on the y-axis for Arctic and Antarctic SIA. Results remain essentially the same when using sea ice extent (SIE; not shown). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

14.12.2022

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Mean (x-axis) sea ice area (SIA) and its trend (y-axis)



Seasonal evolution of observed and simulated Arctic (left) and Antarctic (right) sea ice area (SIA) over 1979-2017



Figure 3.21 | Seasonal evolution of observed and simulated Arctic (left) and Antarctic (right) sea ice area (SIA) over 1979–2017. SIA anomalies relative to the 1979-2000 means from observations (OBS from OSISAF, NASA Team, and Bootstrap, top) and historical (ALL, middle) and natural only (NAT, bottom) simulations from CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. These anomalies are obtained by computing non-overlapping three-year mean SIA anomalies for March (February for Antarctic SIA), June, September, and December separately. CMIP5 historical simulations are extended by using RCP4.5 scenario simulations after 2005 while CMIP6 historical simulations are extended by using SSP2-4.5 scenario simulations after 2014. CMIP5 NAT simulations end in 2012. Numbers in brackets represent the number of models used. The multi-model mean is obtained by taking the ensemble mean for each model first and then averaging over models. Grey dots indicate multi-model mean anomalies stronger than intermodel spread (beyond \pm 1 standard deviation). Results remain very similar when based on sea ice extent (SIE – not shown). Units: 10⁶ km². Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1) and in the caption to Figure 9.13.

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

65



Human Influence on the Cryosphere (2)

It is very likely that human influence contributed to the observed reductions in Northern Hemisphere spring snow cover since 1950. The seasonal cycle in Northern Hemisphere snow cover is better reproduced by CMIP6 than by CMIP5 models (*high confidence*). Human influence was very likely the main driver of the recent global, near-universal retreat of glaciers. It is very likely that human influence has contributed to the observed surface melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet over the past two decades, and there is *medium confidence* in an anthropogenic contribution to recent overall mass loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet. However, there is only *limited evidence*, with *medium agreement*, of human influence on Antarctic Ice Sheet mass balance through changes in ice discharge. {3.4.2, 3.4.3}

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Time series of Northern Hemisphere March-April mean snow cover extent (SCE) from observations. CMIP5 and CMIP6 simulations

Northern Hemisphere Snow Cover Extent (SCE) anomalies



Figure 3.22 | Time series of Northern Hemisphere March–April mean snow cover extent (SCE) from observations, CMIP5 and CMIP6 simulations. The observations (grey lines) are updated Brown-NOAA (Brown and Robinson, 2011), Mudryk et al. (2020), and GLDAS2. CMIP5 (top) and CMIP6 (bottom) simulations of the response to natural plus anthropogenic forcing are shown in brown, natural forcing only in green, and the pre-industrial control simulation range is presented in blue. Five-year mean anomalies are shown for the 1923–2017 period with the x-axis representing the centre years of each five-year mean. CMIP5 all forcing simulations are extended by using RCP4.5 scenario simulations after 2005 while CMIP6 all forcing simulations are extended by using SSP2-4.5 scenario simulations after 2014. Shading indicates 5th–95th percentile ranges for CMIP5 and CMIP6 all and natural forcings simulations, and solid lines are ensemble means, based on all available ensemble members with equal weight given to each model (Section 3.2). The blue vertical bar indicates the mean 5th-95th percentile range of pre-industrial control simulation anomalies, based on non-overlapping segments. The numbers in brackets indicate the number of models used. Anomalies are relative to the average over 1971–2000. For models, SCE is restricted to grid cells with land fraction \geq 50%. Greenland is excluded from the total area summation. Figure is modified from Paik and Min (2020), their Figure 1. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).



Northern Hemisphere Snow Cover Extent (SCE) anomalies







Human Influence on the Ocean (1)

It is extremely likely that human influence was the main driver of the ocean heat content increase observed since the 1970s, which extends into the deeper ocean (very high **confidence**). Since AR5, there is improved consistency between recent observed estimates and model simulations of changes in upper (<700 m) ocean heat content, when accounting for both natural and anthropogenic forcings. Updated observations and model simulations show that warming extends throughout the entire water column (*high confidence*), with CMIP6 models simulating 58% of industrial-era heat uptake (1850 to 2014) in the upper layer (0–700 m), 21% in the intermediate layer (700–2000 m) and 22% in the deep layer (>2000 m). The structure and magnitude of multi-model mean ocean temperature biases have not changed substantially between CMIP5 and CMIP6 (*medium confidence*). {3.5.1}

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Human Influence on the Ocean (2)

It is extremely likely that human influence has contributed to observed near-surface and subsurface oceanic salinity changes since the mid-20th century. The associated pattern of change corresponds to fresh regions becoming fresher and salty regions becoming saltier (*high confidence*). Changes to the coincident atmospheric water cycle and ocean-atmosphere fluxes (evaporation and precipitation) are the primary drivers of the observed basin-scale salinity changes (*high confidence*). The observed depth-integrated basin-scale salinity changes have been attributed to human influence, with CMIP5 and CMIP6 models able to reproduce these patterns only in simulations that include greenhouse gas increases (*medium confidence*). The basin-scale changes are consistent across models and intensify through the historical period (*high confidence*). The structure of the biases in the multi-model mean has not changed substantially between CMIP5 and CMIP6 (*medium confidence*). {3.5.2}

V Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3





Multi-model-mean bias of sea surface temperature and near-surface salinity, defined as CMIP6 multi-model mean minus World Ocean Atlas 2018.



Figure 3.23 | Multi-model mean bias of (a) sea surface temperature and (b) near-surface salinity, defined as the difference between the CMIP6 multi-model mean and the climatology from the World Ocean Atlas 2018. The CMIP6 multi-model mean is constructed with one realization of 46 CMIP6 historical experiments for the period 1995–2014 and the climatology from the World Ocean Atlas 2018 is an average over all available years (1955–2017). Uncertainty is represented using the advanced approach: No overlay indicates regions with robust signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the variability threshold and $\geq 80\%$ of all models agree on sign of change; diagonal lines indicate regions with no change or no robust signal, where < 66% of models show a change greater than the variability threshold; crossed lines indicate regions with conflicting signal, where $\geq 66\%$ of models show change greater than the variability threshold and < 80% of all models agree on sign of change. For more information on the advanced approach, please refer to Cross-Chapter Box Atlas.1. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).
Biases in zonal mean and equatorial sea surface temperature (SST) in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models

Figure 3.24 | Biases in zonal mean and equatorial sea surface temperature (SST) in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. CMIP6 (red), CMIP5 (blue) and HighResMIP (green) multi-model mean **(a)** zonally averaged SST bias; **(b)** equatorial SST bias; and **(c)** equatorial SST compared to observed mean SST (black line) for 1979–1999. The inter-model 5th and 95th percentiles are depicted by the respective shaded range. Model climatologies are derived from the 1979–1999 mean of the historical simulations, using one simulation per model. The Hadley Centre Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature version 1 (HadISST) (Rayner et al., 2003) observational climatology for 1979–1999 is used as the reference for the error calculation in (a) and (b); and for observations in (c). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3



Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

73

CMIP6 potential temperature and salinity biases for the global ocean, Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans



Figure 3.25 | CMIP6 potential temperature and salinity biases for the global ocean, Atlantic Ocean, Pacific Ocean and Indian Ocean. Shown in colour are the time-mean differences between the CMIP6 historical multi-model climatological mean and observations, zonally averaged for each basin (excluding marginal and regional seas). The observed climatological values are obtained from the World Ocean Atlas 2018 (WOA18, 1981–2010; Prepared by the Ocean Climate Laboratory, National Oceanographic Data Center, Silver Spring, MD, USA), and are shown as labelled black contours for each of the basins. The simulated annual mean climatologies for 1981 to 2010 are calculated from available CMIP6 historical simulations, and the WOA18 climatology utilized synthesized observed data from 1981 to 2010. Output from a total of 30 available CMIP6 models is used for the temperature panels (left column) and 28 models for the salinity panels (right column). Potential temperature units are °C and salinity units are the Practical Salinity Scale 1978 [PSS-78]. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

A Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Potential temperature and salinity bias for ocean basins (1981-2010)





Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

Global ocean heat content in CMIP6 simulations and observations



Figure 3.26 | Global ocean heat content in CMIP6 simulations and observations. Time series of observed (black) and simulated (red) global ocean heat content anomalies with respect to 1995–2014 for the full ocean depth (**left-hand panel**); upper layer: 0–700 m (**top right-hand panel**); intermediate layer: 700–2000 m (**middle right-hand panel**); and the abyssal ocean: >2000 m (**bottom right-hand panel**). The best estimate observations (black solid line) for the period of 1971–2018, along with *very likely* ranges (black shading) are from Section 2.3.3.1. For the models (1860–2014), ensemble members from 15 CMIP6 models are used to calculate the multi-model mean values (red solid line) after averaging across simulations for each independent model. The *very likely* ranges in the simulations are shown in red shading. Simulation drift has been removed from all CMIP6 historical runs using a contemporaneous portion of the linear fit to each corresponding pre-industrial control run (Gleckler et al., 2012). Units are zettajoules (ZJ; 10²¹ joule). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3



Maps of multi-decadal salinity trends for the near-surface ocean

Figure 3.27 | Maps of multi-decadal salinity trends for the near-surface ocean. Units are Practical Salinity Scale 1978 [PSS-78] per decade. **(Top)** The best estimate (Section 2.3.3.2) observed trend (1950–2019, Durack and Wijffels, 2010). **(Bottom)** Simulated trend from the CMIP6 historical experiment multi-model mean (1950–2014). Black contours show the climatological mean salinity in increments of 0.5 PSS-78 (thick lines 1 PSS-78). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

79

14.12.2022

 PPS = practical salinity scale

 IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

 IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

Observed and modelled near-surface salinity trends Observations (1950–2019)



CMIP6 historical multi-model mean (1950-2014)

Human Influence on the Ocean (3)

Combining the attributable contributions from glaciers, ice sheet surface mass balance and thermal expansion, it is very likely that human influence was the main driver of the observed global mean sea level rise since at least 1970. Since the AR5, studies have shown that simulations that exclude anthropogenic greenhouse gases are unable to capture the sea level rise due to thermal expansion (thermosteric) during the historical period and that model simulations that include all forcings (anthropogenic and natural) most closely match observed estimates. It is very likely that human influence was the main driver of the observed global mean thermosteric sea level increase since 1970. {3.5.3, 3.5.1, 3.4.3}

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3





Long-term trends in halosteric and thermosteric sea level in CMIP6 models and observations



Figure 3.28 | Long-term trends in halosteric and thermosteric sea level in CMIP6 models and observations. Units are mm yr⁻¹. In the right-hand column, three observed maps of 0 to 2000 m halosteric sea level trends are shown: top (D&W) from Durack and Wijffels (2010), 1950–2019, updated; upper-middle (EN4) from Good et al. (2013), 1950–2019, updated; and lower-middle (Ishii) from Ishii et al. (2017), 1955–2019, updated. Bottom-right: the CMIP6 historical multi-model mean (1950–2014). Red and orange colours show a halosteric contraction (enhanced salinity) and blue and green a halosteric expansion (reduced salinity). In the left-hand column, basin-integrated halosteric (top) and thermosteric (bottom) trends for the Atlantic and Pacific, the two largest ocean basins, are shown, where Pacific anomalies are presented on the x-axis and Atlantic on the y-axis. Observational estimates are presented in black, CMIP6 historical (all forcings) simulations are shown in orange squares, with the multi-model mean shown as a dark orange diamond with a black bounding box. CMIP6 hist-nat (historical natural forcings only) simulations are shown in green squares with the multi-model mean as a dark green diamond with a black bounding box. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022



Halosteric and thermosteric sea level trends

82

Simul. and obs. global mean sea level change due to thermal expansion for CMIP6 models and observations relative to the baseline period 1850-1900

Simulated and observed global mean sea level change due to thermal expansion



Figure 3.29 | Simulated and observed global mean sea level change due to thermal expansion for CMIP6 models and observations relative to the baseline period 1850–1900. Historical simulations are shown in brown, natural only in green, greenhouse gas only in grey, and aerosol only in blue (multi-model means shown as thick lines, and shaded ranges between the 5th and 95th percentile). The best estimate observations (black solid line) for the period of 1971–2018, along with *very likely* ranges (black shading) are from Section 2.3.3.1 and are shifted to match the multi-model mean of the historical simulations for the 1995–2014 period. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

Simulated and observed global mean sea level change due to thermal expansion



Human Influence on the Ocean (4)

While observations show that the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) has weakened from the mid-2000s to the mid-2010s (*high confidence*) and the Southern Ocean upper overturning cell has strengthened since the 1990s (*low confidence*), observational records are too short to determine the relative contributions of internal variability, natural forcing, and anthropogenic forcing to these changes (*high confidence*). No changes in Antarctic Circumpolar Current transport or meridional position have been observed. The mean zonal and overturning circulations of the Southern Ocean and the mean overturning circulation of the North Atlantic (AMOC) are broadly reproduced by CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. However, biases are apparent in the modelled circulation strengths (*high confidence*) and their variability (*medium confidence*). {3.5.4}

S Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Observed and CMIP6 simulated AMOC mean state, variability and long-term trends



Figure 3.30 | Observed and CMIP6 simulated AMOC mean state, variability and long-term trends. (a) AMOC meridional stream function profiles at 26.5°N from the historical CMIP5 (1860–2004) and CMIP6 (1860–2014) simulations compared with the mean maximum overturning depth (horizontal grey line) and magnitude (vertical grey line) from the RAPID observations (2004–2018). The distributions of model ranges of AMOC maximum magnitude and depth are respectively displayed near the x- and y-axis. (b) Distributions of overlapping eight-year AMOC trends from individual CMIP6 historical simulations (pink box plots) are plotted along with the combined distributions of all available CMIP5 (blue boxplot) and CMIP6 (red boxplot) models. For reference, the observed eight-year trend calculated between 2004 and 2012 is also shown as a horizontal grey line (following Roberts et al., 2014). (c) Distributions of interannual AMOC variability from individual CMIP6 model historical simulations, along with the combined distributions of all available CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. Interannual variability in models and observations is estimated as annual mean (April–March) differences, and the horizontal grey line is the observed value for 2009/2010 minus 2008/2009 (following Roberts et al., 2014). (d-f) Distributions of linear AMOC trends calculated over various time periods (see panel titles) in CMIP6 simulations forced with: greenhouse gas forcing only (GHG), natural forcing only (NAT), anthropogenic aerosol forcing only (AER) and all forcing combined (Historical; HIST). (a-f) Boxes indicate the 25th to 75th percentile range, whiskers indicate 1st and 99th percentiles in (a-c) and 5th and 95th percentile in (d-f), and dots indicate outliers, while the horizontal black line is the multi-model mean trend. In (d-f) the multi-model mean trend is also written above each distribution. The multi-model distributions in (a-c) were produced with one historical ensemble member per model for which the AMOC variable was available (listed), while those in (d-f) were produced with the detection and attribution simulation datasets utilized by Menary et al. (2020). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3





Human Influence on the Biosphere (1)

The main driver of the observed increase in the amplitude of the seasonal cycle of atmospheric CO_2 is enhanced fertilization of plant growth by the increasing concentration of atmospheric CO_2 (medium confidence). However, there is only *low confidence* that this CO_2 fertilization has also been the main driver of observed greening because land management is the dominating factor in some regions. Earth system models simulate globally averaged land carbon sinks within the range of observation-based estimates (*high confidence*), but global-scale agreement masks large regional disagreements. {3.6.1}

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Human Influence on the Biosphere (2)

It is virtually certain that the uptake of anthropogenic CO_2 was the main driver of the observed acidification of the global ocean. The observed increase in CO_2 concentration in the subtropical and equatorial North Atlantic since 2000 is *likely* associated in part with an increase in ocean temperature, a response that is consistent with the expected weakening of the ocean carbon sink with warming. Consistent with AR5 there is *medium confidence* that deoxygenation in the upper ocean is due in part to human influence. There is *high confidence* that Earth system models simulate a realistic time evolution of the global mean ocean carbon sink. $\{3.6.2\}$





Evaluation of historical emission-driven CMIP6 simulations for 1850-2014

Carbon sinks in CMIP6 emissions driven simulations

Temperature anomaly [°C] Atmospheric CO₂ [ppmv] а 400 ACCESS-ESM1-5 350 CNRM-ESM2-1 CanESM5-CanOE 300 CanESM5 MIROC-ES2L 1900 1950 2000 1900 1950 2000 1850 MPI-ESM1-2-LR MRI-ESM2-0 let Ocean C Flux [PgC yr⁻¹] NorESM2-LM Net Land C Flux [PgC yr⁻¹] C UKESM1-0-LL Multi-model mean 2 OBS 2 1 1 0 0 $^{-1}$ 1950 2000 1950 2000 1850 1900 1850 1900

Figure 3.31 | Evaluation of historical emissions-driven CMIP6 simulations for 1850–2014. Observations (black) are compared to simulations of global mean (a) atmospheric CO₂ concentration (ppmv), with observations from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA ESRL; Dlugokencky and Tans, 2020); (b) surface air temperature anomaly (°C) with respect to the 1850–1900 mean, with observations from HadCRUT4 (Morice et al., 2012); (c) land carbon uptake (PgC yr⁻¹), (d) ocean carbon uptake (PgC yr⁻¹), both with observations from the Global Carbon Project (GCP; Friedlingstein et al., 2019) and grey shading indicating the observational uncertainty. Land and ocean carbon uptakes are plotted using a 10-year running mean for better visibility. The ocean uptake is offset to 0 in 1850 to correct for pre-industrial riverine-induced carbon fluxes. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

14.12.2022

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3



Carbon sinks in CMIP6 emissions driven simulations

Relative change in the amplitude of the seasonal cycle of global land carbon uptake in the historical CMIP6 simulations from 1961-2014



Figure 3.32 | Relative change in the amplitude of the seasonal cycle of global land carbon uptake in the historical CMIP6 simulations from 1961-2014. Net biosphere production estimates from 19 CMIP6 models (red), the data-led reconstruction JMA-TRANSCOM (Maki et al., 2010; dotted) and atmospheric CO₂ seasonal cycle amplitude changes from observations (global as dashed line, Mauna Loa Observatory (MLO) (Dlugokencky et al., 2020) in bold black). Seasonal cycle amplitude is calculated using the curve fit algorithm package from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA ESRL). Relative changes are referenced to the 1961–1970 mean and for short time series adjusted to have the same mean as the model ensemble in the last 10 years. Interannual variation was removed with a nine-year Gaussian smoothing. Shaded areas show the one sigma model spread (grey) for the CMIP6 ensemble and the one sigma standard deviation of the smoothing (red) for the CO₂ MLO observations. Inset: average seasonal cycle of ensemble mean net biosphere production and its one sigma model spread for 1961–1970 (orange dashed line, light orange shading) and 2005–2014 (solid green line, green shading). Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

92





Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

93

Human Influence on Modes of Climate Variability (1)

It is very likely that human influence has contributed to the observed trend towards the positive phase of the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) since the 1970s and to the associated strengthening and southward shift of the Southern Hemispheric extratropical jet in austral summer. The influence of ozone forcing on the SAM trend has been small since the early 2000s compared to earlier decades, contributing to a weaker SAM trend observed over 2000–2019 (*medium confidence*). Climate models reproduce the summertime SAM trend well, with CMIP6 models outperforming CMIP5 models (*medium confidence*). By contrast, the cause of the Northern Annular Mode (NAM) trend towards its positive phase since the 1960s and associated northward shifts of Northern Hemispheric extratropical jet and storm track in boreal winter is not well understood. Models reproduce observed spatial features and variance of the SAM and NAM very well (*high confidence*). {3.3.3, 3.7.1, 3.7.2}

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Model evaluation of NAM, NAO and SAM in boreal winter



Figure 3.33 | Model evaluation of NAM, NAO and SAM in boreal winter. Regression of Mean Sea Level Pressure (MSLP) anomalies (in hPa) onto the normalized principal component (PC) of the leading mode of variability obtained from empirical orthogonal decomposition of the boreal winter (December-February) MSLP poleward of 20°N for the observed Northern Annular Mode (NAM, a), over 20°N–80°N, 90°W–40°E for the North Atlantic Oscillation as shown by the black sector (NAO, b), and poleward of 20°S for the Southern Annular Mode (SAM, c) for the JRA-55 reanalysis. Cross marks indicate regions where the anomalies are not significant at the 10% level based on a t-test. The period used to calculate the NAO/NAM is 1958–2014 but 1979–2014 for the SAM. (d-f) Same but for the multi-model ensemble (MME) mean from CMIP6 historical simulations. Models are weighted in compositing to account for differences in their respective ensemble size. Diagonal lines show regions where less than 80% of the runs agree in sign. (q-i) Taylor diagrams summarizing the representation of the modes in models and observations following Lee et al. (2019) for CMIP5 (light blue) and CMIP6 (red) historical simulations. The reference pattern is taken from JRA-55 (a-c). The ratio of standard deviation to that of the reference observations (radial distance), spatial correlation (radial angle) and resulting root-mean-squared errors (solid isolines) are given for individual ensemble members (crosses) and for other observational products (ERA5 and NOAA 20CR version 3, black dots). Coloured dots stand for weighted multi-model mean statistics for CMIP5 (blue) and CMIP6 (light red) as well as for AMIP simulations from CMIP6 (orange). (j-l) Histograms of the trends built from all individual ensemble members and all the models (brown bars). Vertical lines in black show all the observational estimates. The orange, light red, and light blue lines indicate the weighted multi-model mean of CMIP6 AMIP, CMIP6 and CMIP5 historical simulations, respectively. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

95



DLR

14.12.2022



Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3





Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

Attribution of observed seasonal trends in the annular modes to forcings



Figure 3.34 | Attribution of observed seasonal trends in the annular modes to forcings. Simulated and observed trends in NAM indices over 1958–2019 (a) and in SAM indices over 1979–2019 (b) and over 2000–2019 (c) for boreal winter (December–February average; DJF) and summer (June–August average; JJA). The indices are based on the difference of the normalized zonally averaged monthly mean sea level pressure between 35°N and 65°N for the NAM and between 40°S and 65°S for the SAM as defined in Jianping and Wang (2003) and Gong and Wang (1999), respectively; the unit is decade⁻¹. Ensemble mean, interguartile ranges and 5th and 95th percentiles are represented by empty boxes and whiskers for pre-industrial control simulations and historical simulations. The number of ensemble members and models used for computing the distribution is given in the upper-left legend. Grey lines show observed trends from the ERA5 and JRA-55 reanalyses. Multi-model multi-member ensemble means of the forced component of the trends as well as their 5–95% confidence intervals assessed from t-statistics, are represented by filled boxes, based on CMIP6 individual forcing simulations from DAMIP ensembles; greenhouse gases in brown, aerosols in light blue, stratospheric ozone in purple and natural forcing in green. Models with at least three ensemble members are used for the filled boxes, with black dots representing the ensemble means of individual models. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

98



Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

Southern Annular Mode (SAM) indices in the last millennium

Figure 3.35 | Southern Annular Mode (SAM) indices in the last millennium.
(a) Annual-mean SAM reconstructions by Abram et al. (2014) and Dätwyler et al. (2018).
(b) The annual-mean SAM index defined by Gong and Wang (1999) in CMIP5 and OCMIP6 last millennium simulations extended by historical simulations. All indices are normalized with respect to 1961–1990 means and standard deviations. Thin lines and thick lines show seven-year and 70-year moving averages, respectively. Further details -2 on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

Annual-mean SAM index over the last millennium



14.12.2022

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

Human Influence on Modes of Climate Variability (2)

Human influence has not affected the principal tropical modes of interannual climate variability or their associated regional teleconnections beyond the range of internal variability (high confidence). Further assessment since the AR5 confirms that climate and Earth system models are able to reproduce most aspects of the spatial structure and variance of the El Niño–Southern Oscillation and Indian Ocean Basin and Dipole modes (medium confidence). However, despite a slight improvement in CMIP6, some underlying processes are still poorly represented. In the Tropical Atlantic basin, which contains the Atlantic Zonal and Meridional modes, major biases in modelled mean state and variability remain. {3.7.3 to 3.7.5}

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Life cycle of El Niño and La Niña events in observations (black) and historical simulations from CMIP5 (blue; extended with RCP4.5) and CMIP6 (red)



Figure 3.36 | Life cycle of (left) El Niño and (right) La Niña events in observations (black) and historical simulations from CMIP5 (blue; extended with RCP4.5) and CMIP6 (red). An event is detected when the December ENSO index value in year zero exceeds 0.75 times its standard deviation for 1951–2010. (a, b) Composites of the ENSO index (°C). The horizontal axis represents month relative to the reference December (the grey vertical bar), with numbers in parentheses indicating relative years. Shading and lines represent 5th–95th percentiles and multi-model ensemble means, respectively. (c, d) Mean durations (months) of El Niño and La Niña events defined as number of months in individual events for which the ENSO index exceeds 0.5 times its December standard deviation. Each dot represents an ensemble member from the model indicated on the vertical axis. The boxes and whiskers represent multi-model ensemble means, interquartile ranges and 5th and 95th percentiles of CMIP5 and CMIP6. The CMIP5 and CMIP6 multi-model ensemble means and observational values are indicated at the top right of each panel. The multi-model ensemble means and percentile values are evaluated after weighting individual members with the inverse of the ensemble size of the same model, so that individual models are equally weighted irrespective of their ensemble sizes. The ENSO index is defined as the SST anomaly averaged over the Niño 3.4 region (5°S–5°N, 170°W–120°W). All results are based on five-month running mean SST anomalies with triangular-weights after linear detrending. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3



14.12.2022

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3





C Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Model evaluation of ENSO teleconnection for 2m-temperature and precipitation in boreal winter (December-January-February)



Figure 3.38 | Model evaluation of ENSO teleconnection for near surface air temperature and precipitation in boreal winter (December–January–February). Teleconnections are identified by linear regression with the Niño 3.4 SST index based on Extended Reconstructed Sea Surface Temperature (ERSST) version 5 over the period 1958–2014. Maps show observed patterns for temperature from the Berkeley Earth dataset over land and from ERSST version 5 over ocean (°C, **top**) and for precipitation from GPCC over land (shading, mm day⁻¹) and GPCP worldwide (contours, period: 1979–2014). Distributions of regression coefficients (grey histograms) are provided for a subset of AR6 reference regions defined in Atlas.1.3 for temperature (**top**) and precipitation (**bottom**). All fields are linearly detrended prior to computation. Multi-model multi-member ensemble means are indicated by thick vertical black lines. Blue vertical lines show three observational estimates of temperature, based on Berkeley Earth, GISTEMP and CRUTS datasets, and two observational estimates of precipitation, based on GPCC and CRUTS datasets. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

IPCC 2021, Chap. 3

14.12.2022

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Human Influence on Modes of Climate Variability (3)

There is *medium confidence* that anthropogenic and volcanic aerosols contributed to observed changes in the Atlantic Multi-decadal Variability (AMV) index and associated regional teleconnections since the 1960s, but there is *low confidence* in the magnitude of this influence. There is *high confidence* that internal variability is the main driver of Pacific Decadal Variability (PDV) observed since pre-industrial times, despite some modelling evidence for potential human influence. Uncertainties remain in quantification of the human influence on AMV and PDV due to brevity of the observational records, limited model performance in reproducing related sea surface temperature anomalies despite improvements from CMIP5 to CMIP6 (*medium conf*idence), and limited process understanding of their key drivers. {3.7.6, 3.7.7}

20 Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3



Model evaluation of the Pacific Decadal Variability (PDV)



Figure 3.39 | Model evaluation of the Pacific Decadal Variability (PDV). (a, b) Sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies (°C) regressed onto the Tripole Index (TPI; Henley et al., 2015) for 1900–2014 in (a) ERSST version 5 and (b) CMIP6 multi-model ensemble (MME) mean composite obtained by weighting ensemble members by the inverse of the model ensemble size. A 10-year low-pass filter was applied beforehand. Cross marks in (a) represent regions where the anomalies are not significant at the 10% level based on a t-test. Diagonal lines in (b) indicate regions where less than 80% of the runs agree in sign. (c) A Taylor diagram summarizing the representation of the PDV pattern in CMIP5 (each ensemble member is shown as a cross in light blue, and the weighted multi-model mean as a dot in dark blue), CMIP6 (each ensemble member is shown as a cross in red, and the weighted multi-model mean as a dot in orange) and observations over 40°S-60°N and 110°E-70°W. The reference pattern is taken from ERSST version 5 and black dots indicate other observational products: Hadley Centre Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature data set version 1 (HadISST version 1) and Centennial in situ Observation-Based Estimates of Sea Surface Temperature version 2 (COBE-SST2). (d) Autocorrelation of unfiltered annual TPI at lag one year and 10-year low-pass filtered TPI at lag 10 years for observations over 1900–2014 (horizontal lines), 115-year chunks of pre-industrial control simulations (open boxes) and individual historical simulations over 1900–2014 (filled boxes) from CMIP5 (blue) and CMIP6 (red). (e) As in (d), but showing standard deviation of the unfiltered and filtered TPI (°C). Boxes and whiskers show weighted multi-model means, interguartile ranges and 5th and 95th percentiles. (f) Time series of the 10-year low-pass filtered TPI (°C) in ERSST version 5, HadISST version 1 and COBE-SST2 observational estimates (black) and CMIP5 and CMIP6 historical simulations. The thick red and light blue lines are the weighted multi-model mean for the historical simulations in CMIP5 and CMIP6, respectively, and the envelopes represent the 5th–95th percentile ranges across ensemble members. The 5–95% confidence interval for the CMIP6 multi-model mean is given in thin dashed lines. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

14.12.2022


Model Evaluation of the Pacific Decadal Variability (PDV - 1900-2014)

Sausen, Klimaänderung 1.3

109

 \mathbf{c}

IPCC 2021, Chap.

Model evaluation of Atlantic Multi-decadal Variability (AMV)



Figure 3.40 | Model evaluation of Atlantic Multi-decadal Variability (AMV). (a, b) Sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies (°C) regressed onto the AMV index defined as the 10-year low-pass filtered North Atlantic (0°–60°N, 80°W–0°E) area-weighted SST* anomalies over 1900–2014 in (a) ERSST version 5 and (b) the CMIP6 multi-model ensemble (MME) mean composite obtained by weighting ensemble members by the inverse of each model's ensemble size. The asterisk denotes that the global mean SST anomaly has been removed at each time step of the computation. Cross marks in (a) represent regions where the anomalies are not significant at the 10% level based on a t-test. Diagonal lines in (b) show regions where less than 80% of the runs agree in sign. (c) A Taylor diagram summarizing the representation of the AMV pattern in CMIP5 (each ensemble member is shown as a cross in light blue, and the weighted multi-model mean is shown as a dot in dark blue), CMIP6 (each ensemble member is shown as a cross in red, and the weighted multi-model mean is shown as a dot in orange) and observations over [0°–60°N, 80°W–0°E]. The reference pattern is taken from ERSST version 5 and black dots indicate other observational products (HadISST version 1 and COBE-SST2). (d) Autocorrelation of unfiltered annual AMV index at lag one year and 10-year low-pass filtered AMV index at lag 10 years for observations over 1900–2014 (horizontal lines), 115-year chunks of pre-industrial control simulations (open boxes) and individual historical simulations over 1900–2014 (filled boxes) from CMIP5 (blue) and CMIP6 (red). (e) As in (d), but showing standard deviation of the unfiltered and filtered AMV indices (°C). Boxes and whiskers show the weighted multi-model means, interquartile ranges and 5th and 95th percentiles. (f) Time series of the AMV index (°C) in ERSST version 5, HadISST version 1 and COBE-SST2 observational estimates (black) and CMIP6 historical simulations. The thick red and light blue lines are the weighted multi-model mean is conce serpese

110

DLR



Model Evaluation of the Atlantic Multi-Decadal Variability (AMV - 1900-2014)

111

IPCC 2021, Chap.

 \mathbf{c}

Summary figure showing simulated and observed changes in key large-scale indicators of climate change across the climate system



Figure 3.41 | Summary figure showing simulated and observed changes in key large-scale indicators of climate change across the climate system, for continental, ocean basin and larger scales. Black lines show observations, brown lines and shading show the multi-model mean and 5th–95th percentile ranges for CMIP6 historical simulations including anthropogenic and natural forcing, and blue lines and shading show corresponding ensemble means and 5th–95th percentile ranges for CMIP6 natural-only simulations. Temperature time series are as in Figure 3.9, but with smoothing using a low pass filter. Precipitation time series are as in Figure 3.15 and ocean heat content as in Figure 3.26. Further details on data sources and processing are available in the chapter data table (Table 3.SM.1).

112

14.12.2022

 \mathbf{c}





DLR

14.12.2022

. .

.

• 1 •



-

.







14.12.2022

Pattern correlations between models and observations of three different variables: surface air temperature, precipitation and sea level pressure

FAQ 3.3: Are Climate Models Improving?

Yes, climate models have improved with increasing computer power and better understanding of climate processes.



FAQ 3.3, Figure 1 | Pattern correlations between models and observations of three different variables: surface air temperature, precipitation and sea level pressure. Results are shown for the three most recent generations of models, from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP): CMIP3 (orange), CMIP5 (blue) and CMIP6 (purple). Individual model results are shown as short lines, along with the corresponding ensemble average (long line). For the correlations the yearly averages of the models are compared with the reference observations for the period 1980–1999, with 1 representing perfect similarity between the models and observations. CMIP3 simulations performed in 2004-2008 were assessed in the IPCC Fourth Assessment, CMIP5 simulations performed in 2011–2013 were assessed in the IPCC Fifth Assessment, and CMIP6 simulations performed in 2018–2021 are assessed in this Report.

FAQ 3.3: Are Climate Models Improving? Yes, climate models have improved with increasing computer power and better understanding of climate processes.



Skill of models at reproducing observations

DLR

Chapter 4: Future global climate: scenario-based projections and near-term information

Nächste Vorlesung am 11. Januar 2023



